

Equivalence of CSG and NCG

November 4, 2012

1 The problem

1. First transform the following NCG into a CSG,

$$L = \{a^n b^n c^n \mid n \geq 1\} : S \rightarrow aSBc \mid abc, cB \rightarrow Bc, bB \rightarrow bb.$$

2. Prove that each NCG can be transformed into an equivalent CSG.

2 The solution

1. Assign each rule an identifier.

$$\begin{aligned} R_1 : S &\rightarrow aSBc & R_2 : S &\rightarrow abc, \\ R_3 : cB &\rightarrow Bc & R_4 : bB &\rightarrow bb \end{aligned}$$

Only R_3 is not of the desired form.

Step 1 Introduce new nonterminals C for the terminal c in order to remove terminals from the left sides of production rules.

$$\begin{aligned} R_3 : cB \rightarrow Bc &\text{ is replaced by } R_{31} : CB \rightarrow BC, R_{32} : C \rightarrow c. \\ R_1 &\text{ is replaced by } R'_1 : S \rightarrow aSBC, R_2 \text{ is replaced by } R'_2 : S \rightarrow abc. \end{aligned}$$

Step 2 $R_{31} : CB \rightarrow BC$ is replaced by the following rules,

$$R_{311} : CB \rightarrow D_1B, R_{312} : D_1B \rightarrow D_1D_2, R_{313} : D_1D_2 \rightarrow BD_2, R_{314} : BD_2 \rightarrow BC.$$

Let G' be the resulting CSG.

Intuitively, one step $cB \rightarrow Bc$ in G is simulated by several derivation steps of the CSG G' ,

- to start the simulation, CB is replaced by D_1D_2 using the rule $CB \rightarrow D_1B$ and $D_1B \rightarrow D_1D_2$,
- then D_1D_2 is removed and BC is derived left-to-right by the rule $D_1D_2 \rightarrow BD_2$, $BD_2 \rightarrow BC$.

Note that in G' , the execution of the rules $R_{311}, R_{312}, R_{313}, R_{314}$ may not be consecutive. But we have the following observation:

If there is a derivation $S \Rightarrow^* \alpha$ in G' such that $R_{311}, R_{312}, R_{313}, R_{314}$ are used, then there must be another derivation in which $R_{311}, R_{312}, R_{313}, R_{314}$ are applied consecutively.

Let's illustrate this with an example: The derivation

$$S \xrightarrow{R'_1} aSBC \xrightarrow{R'_1} aaSBCBC \xrightarrow{R_{311}} aaSBD_1BC \xrightarrow{R'_1} aaabCBD_1BC \xrightarrow{R_{312}} aaabCBD_1D_2C \rightarrow \dots$$

can be replaced by

$$S \xrightarrow{R'_1} aSBC \xrightarrow{R'_1} aaSBCBC \xrightarrow{R'_1} aaabCBCBC \xrightarrow{R_{311}} aaabCBD_1BC \xrightarrow{R_{312}} aaabCBD_1D_2C \rightarrow \dots$$

2. Suppose $G = (\mathcal{N}, \Sigma, \mathcal{P}, S)$ is an NCG.

Similar to the example above, by introducing a nonterminal A_a for each terminal $a \in \Sigma$, we can get a NCG such that the left sides of all rules only contain nonterminals. Let G' be the resulting grammar.

Assign an identifier for each production rule G' , say R_1, \dots, R_n .

For each rule R_i of the form $A_1 \dots A_m \rightarrow B_1 \dots B_n$ (where $2 \leq m \leq n$) such that for every i , $B_i \in \mathcal{N}$, do the following: Introduce m new (distinct) nonterminals C_1^i, \dots, C_m^i and replace R_i by the following rules,

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 \dots A_m &\rightarrow C_1^i A_2 \dots A_m \rightarrow C_1^i C_2^i A_3 \dots A_m \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \\ C_1^i C_2^i \dots C_{m-1}^i A_m &\rightarrow C_1^i C_2^i \dots C_{m-1}^i C_m^i \rightarrow B_1 C_2^i \dots C_m^i \rightarrow \\ B_1 B_2 C_3^i \dots C_m^i &\rightarrow B_1 \dots B_{m-1} C_m^i \rightarrow B_1 \dots B_{m-1} B_m \dots B_n. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the nonterminals C_1^i, \dots, C_m^i are distinct, thus the position information of the left-side of the rule R_i is encoded by these nondeterminals; in addition, for distinct i, j , $C_r^i \neq C_s^j$ for any r, s , in other words, no newly introduced nonterminals can be reused for different rules.

To prove the correctness of the transformation, we should prove that

Claim. for every $\alpha, \beta \in (\mathcal{N} \cup \Sigma)^*$, $\alpha \Rightarrow_G \beta$ iff $\alpha \Rightarrow_{G'} \beta$.

In the following, we will prove the claim for the situation that *exactly one rule* in G , say $R_1 : A_1 \dots A_m \rightarrow B_1 \dots B_n$, is replaced by the a set of new rules (denoted as \bar{R}_1)

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 \dots A_m &\rightarrow C_1 A_2 \dots A_m \rightarrow C_1 C_2 A_3 \dots A_m \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \\ C_1 C_2 \dots C_{m-1} A_m &\rightarrow C_1 C_2 \dots C_{m-1} C_m \rightarrow B_1 C_2 \dots C_m \rightarrow \\ B_1 B_2 C_3 \dots C_m &\rightarrow B_1 \dots B_{m-1} C_m \rightarrow B_1 \dots B_{m-1} B_m \dots B_n. \end{aligned}$$

The proof for the general case can be obtained easily by an induction on the number of replaced rules in G .

We show the following: In the derivation $\alpha \Rightarrow_{G'} \beta$, suppose

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1 A_1 \dots A_m \beta_1 &\rightarrow_{G'} \alpha_1 C_1 A_2 \dots A_m \beta_1 \\ &\Rightarrow_{G'} \alpha_2 C_1 A_2 \dots A_m \beta_2 \rightarrow_{G'} \alpha_2 C_1 C_2 A_3 \dots A_m \beta_2 \rightarrow_{G'} \dots \\ &\rightarrow_{G'} \alpha_{m-1} C_1 C_2 \dots C_{m-1} A_m \beta_{m-1} \Rightarrow_{G'} \alpha_m C_1 C_2 \dots C_{m-1} A_m \beta_m \\ &\rightarrow_{G'} \alpha_m C_1 C_2 \dots C_{m-1} C_m \beta_m \Rightarrow_{G'} \alpha_{m+1} C_1 C_2 \dots C_{m-1} C_m \beta_{m+1} \\ &\rightarrow_{G'} \alpha_{m+1} B_1 C_2 \dots C_m \beta_{m+1} \Rightarrow_{G'} \alpha_{m+2} B_1 C_2 \dots C_m \beta_{m+2} \\ &\rightarrow_{G'} \alpha_{m+2} B_1 B_2 C_3 \dots C_m \beta_{m+2} \Rightarrow_{G'} \dots \\ &\rightarrow_{G'} \alpha_{2m-1} B_1 \dots B_{m-1} C_m \beta_{2m-1} \Rightarrow_{G'} \alpha_{2m} B_1 \dots B_{m-1} C_m \beta_{2m} \\ &\rightarrow_{G'} \alpha_{2m} B_1 \dots B_{m-1} B_m \dots B_n \beta_{2m} \end{aligned}$$

such that

- $\forall i : 1 \leq i < m, \alpha_i \Rightarrow_{G'} \alpha_{i+1}$ and $A_{i+1} \dots A_m \beta_i \Rightarrow_{G'} A_{i+1} \dots A_m \beta_{i+1}$.
- $\forall i : 0 \leq i < m, \alpha_{m+i} B_1 \dots B_i \Rightarrow_{G'} \alpha_{m+i+1} B_1 \dots B_i$ and $\beta_{m+i} \Rightarrow_{G'} \beta_{m+i+1}$.

The derivation can be reordered to gather the derivation steps corresponding to the rules in $\overline{R_1}$ (the red color below) together as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_1 A_1 A_2 \dots A_m \beta_1 \Rightarrow_{G'} \alpha_2 A_1 A_2 \dots A_m \beta_2 \\
& \Rightarrow_{G'} \alpha_3 A_1 A_2 \dots A_m \beta_3 \Rightarrow_{G'} \alpha_4 A_1 A_2 \dots A_m \beta_4 \\
& \quad \Rightarrow_{G'} \dots \Rightarrow_{G'} \alpha_m A_1 A_2 \dots A_m \beta_m \\
& \rightarrow_{G'} \alpha_m C_1 A_2 \dots A_m \beta_m \rightarrow_{G'} \alpha_m C_1 C_2 \dots A_m \beta_m \\
& \quad \rightarrow_{G'} \dots \rightarrow_{G'} \alpha_m C_1 \dots C_m \beta_m \\
& \rightarrow_{G'} \alpha_m B_1 C_2 \dots C_m \beta_m \rightarrow_{G'} \alpha_m B_1 B_2 C_3 \dots C_m \beta_m \\
& \rightarrow_{G'} \dots \rightarrow_{G'} \alpha_m B_1 \dots B_{m-1} C_m \beta_m \rightarrow_{G'} \alpha_m B_1 \dots B_{m-1} B_m \dots B_n \beta_m \\
& \quad \Rightarrow_{G'} \alpha_{m+1} B_1 \dots B_n \beta_{m+1} \Rightarrow_{G'} \alpha_{m+2} B_1 \dots B_n \beta_{m+2} \\
& \quad \Rightarrow_{G'} \dots \Rightarrow_{G'} \alpha_{2m-1} B_1 \dots B_n \beta_{2m-1} \Rightarrow_{G'} \alpha_{2m} B_1 \dots B_n \beta_{2m}
\end{aligned}$$

From the new derivation, we can continue reordering the derivation steps, and gather other derivation steps corresponding to the rules in $\overline{R_1}$ together, without separating again those derivation steps that have been gathered together.

Therefore, finally we get a derivation such that

for each execution of the rules in $\overline{R_1}$ in the derivation, all its derivation steps are gathered together (called a $\overline{R_1}$ block).

Finally we can replace the $\overline{R_1}$ blocks into one derivation step using the rule $A_1 \dots A_m \rightarrow B_1 \dots B_n$ and get a derivation in the original grammar G .