

Dimensionality and Randomness

Xiaoyan Zhang

State Key Lab of Computer Science
Institute of Software, Chinese Academy of Sciences

NUS Logic summer school
July 11, 2024

Joint work with George Barmpalias

Notations. We use σ, τ to denote finite binary sequences (strings). Let 2^n be the set of strings of length n . We use x, y to denote infinite binary sequences (reals). Let $x \upharpoonright_n$ be the first n bits of x . Let \prec be the prefix relation among strings and reals.

Definition

Let U be an universal prefix-free Turing machine. The **Kolmogorov Complexity** of a string σ is the length of the shortest program that outputs σ , i.e.

$$K(\sigma) := \min\{|\tau| : U(\tau) = \sigma\}.$$

The **deficiency** of σ is the number of bits it can be best compressed, i.e. $d(\sigma) := |\sigma| - K(\sigma)$.

The **deficiency** of a set of strings V is $d(V) = \sup_{\sigma \in V} d(\sigma)$.
A set of strings V is **incompressible** if $d(V) < \infty$.

Definition

Let U be an universal prefix-free Turing machine. The **Kolmogorov Complexity** of a string σ is the length of the shortest program that outputs σ , i.e.

$$K(\sigma) := \min\{|\tau| : U(\tau) = \sigma\}.$$

The **deficiency** of σ is the number of bits it can be best compressed, i.e. $d(\sigma) := |\sigma| - K(\sigma)$.

The **deficiency** of a set of strings V is $d(V) = \sup_{\sigma \in V} d(\sigma)$.
A set of strings V is **incompressible** if $d(V) < \infty$.

Definition

A real x is **random** if $K(x \upharpoonright_n) \geq^+ n$.

Equivalently, x is **random** if $\{x \upharpoonright_n : n \in \omega\}$ is incompressible.

Observation

*Among incomplete incompressible sets, a **thin** one cannot compute a **fat** one.*

Observation

*Among incomplete incompressible sets, a **thin** one cannot compute a **fat** one.*

Example

Let $x = 0011\ 0011\ 0001\ 0110\ \dots$ be an incomplete random real, let

$$x_0 = 1011\ 0011\ 0001\ 0110\ \dots$$

$$x_1 = 0111\ 0011\ 0001\ 0110\ \dots$$

$$x_2 = 0001\ 0011\ 0001\ 0110\ \dots$$

Let $V = \{x \upharpoonright_n : n \in \omega\}$, $W_i = \{x_i \upharpoonright_n : n \in \omega\}$ and $W = \bigcup W_i$.

V is “thin” in the sense that $|V \cap 2^n| = 1$;

W is “fat” in the sense that $|W \cap 2^n| = n$;

Each x_i is random, so V and W_i are incompressible.

However, W cannot be incompressible.

An **order** is a non-decreasing unbounded function.

Theorem (Barnaliyas, Z., 2024)

An incomplete random real z cannot compute an incompressible set V with $n \mapsto |V \cap 2^n|$ being an order.

An **order** is a non-decreasing unbounded function.

Theorem (Barnaliyas, Z., 2024)

An incomplete random real z cannot compute an incompressible set V with $n \mapsto |V \cap 2^n|$ being an order.

Proof Sketch.

Suppose otherwise, let Φ be such that $\Phi(z)$ is incompressible by constant k and $n \mapsto |\Phi(z) \cap 2^n|$ is an order.

Let $P = \{x : d(\Phi(x)) \leq k\}$ and $\Phi^{-1}(\sigma) = \{x : \sigma \in \Phi(x)\}$.

By a Lemma, $\mu(P \cap \Phi^{-1}(\sigma)) \leq^\times 2^{-|\sigma|}$.

Let $Q_n^m = \{x : |\Phi(x) \cap 2^n| \geq 2^m\}$, then $\mu(P \cap Q_n^m) \leq^\times 2^{-m}$.

P is Π_1^0 and Q_n^m is uniformly Σ_1^0 .

$z \in P$ and for each m there is n with $z \in Q_n^m$.

Use $P \cap Q_n^m$ to carefully build a difference-test. □

Theorem (Barnali, Z., 2024)

Every random real z computes an incompressible set V with $n \mapsto |V \cap 2^n|$ unbounded.

Theorem (Barnaliyas, Z., 2024)

Every random real z computes an incompressible set V with $n \mapsto |V \cap 2^n|$ unbounded.

Proof Sketch.

Use z as a random oracle.

Randomly choose $l_n \in [2^{n-1}, 2^n]$, randomly choose n strings of length l_n and put them in V .

Probability that we fail at level $n \leq 2^{-n}$. Since z is random we only fail at finitely many n , so V is incompressible. \square

A set V is **g -fat** if $\sup_{i \leq n} |V \cap 2^i| \geq g(n)$.

Theorem (Barnali, Z., 2024)

If g is a computable order with $\lim_n n/g(n) = 0$, then an incomplete random real cannot compute an g -fat incompressible set.

Theorem (Barnali, Z., 2024)

Every random real z computes an $n/(\log n)^2$ -fat incompressible set.

A **tree** is a non-empty set of strings T such that $\sigma \prec \tau$ and $\tau \in T$ then $\sigma \in T$. A real x is a **path** of T if $x \upharpoonright_n \in T$ for all n . Let $[T]$ be the set of paths through T . A tree T is

- **pruned** if each $\sigma \in T$ has an extension in T ;

All trees are assumed to be pruned.

A **tree** is a non-empty set of strings T such that $\sigma \prec \tau$ and $\tau \in T$ then $\sigma \in T$. A real x is a **path** of T if $x \upharpoonright_n \in T$ for all n . Let $[T]$ be the set of paths through T . A tree T is

- **pruned** if each $\sigma \in T$ has an extension in T ;

All trees are assumed to be pruned. A tree T is

- **proper** if $|T \cap 2^n|$ is unbounded;
- **perfect** if each $\sigma \in T$ has two incomparable extensions in T ;
- **positive** if $|T \cap 2^n| \geq c \cdot 2^n$ for some $c > 0$.

Corollary (Barnali, Z., 2024)

An incomplete random real z cannot compute a proper incompressible tree.

Corollary (Barnali, Z., 2024)

An incomplete random real z cannot compute a proper incompressible tree.

Theorem (Barnali, Wang, 2023)

There is a perfect incompressible tree which cannot compute any positive incompressible tree.

Corollary (Barnali, Z., 2024)

An incomplete random real z cannot compute a proper incompressible tree.

Theorem (Barnali, Wang, 2023)

There is a perfect incompressible tree which cannot compute any positive incompressible tree.

Theorem (Barnali, Z., 2024)

There is a proper incompressible tree which cannot wtt-compute any perfect incompressible tree.

Consider the following principles in RCA:

- WKL: every infinite tree has a path;
- P^+ : every positive tree has a positive perfect subtree;
- P: every positive tree has a perfect subtree;
- P^- : every positive tree has a countable family of paths;
- WWKL: every positive tree has a path;

Consider the following principles in RCA:

- WKL: every infinite tree has a path;
- P^+ : every positive tree has a positive perfect subtree;
- P: every positive tree has a perfect subtree;
- P^- : every positive tree has a countable family of paths;
- WWKL: every positive tree has a path;

RCA proves $WKL \rightarrow P^+ \rightarrow P \rightarrow P^- \rightarrow WWKL$.

Consider the following principles in RCA:

- WKL: every infinite tree has a path;
- P^+ : every positive tree has a positive perfect subtree;
- P: every positive tree has a perfect subtree;
- P^- : every positive tree has a countable family of paths;
- WWKL: every positive tree has a path;

RCA proves $WKL \rightarrow P^+ \rightarrow P \rightarrow P^- \rightarrow WWKL$.

Theorem (Barnaliyas, Wang, 2023)

Each of the following extensions of RCA has an ω -model:

- $WWKL + \neg P^-$;
- $P + \neg P^+$;
- $P^+ + \neg WKL$.

Question

Is there an ω -model of $\text{RCA} + P^- + \neg P$, i.e. an ω -model where every positive tree has a countable family of paths, but some positive tree does not have a perfect subtree?

Question

Is there an ω -model of $\text{RCA} + P^- + \neg P$, i.e. an ω -model where every positive tree has a countable family of paths, but some positive tree does not have a perfect subtree?

Conjecture

There is a proper incompressible tree which cannot compute any perfect incompressible tree.

Question

Is there an ω -model of $\text{RCA} + P^- + \neg P$, i.e. an ω -model where every positive tree has a countable family of paths, but some positive tree does not have a perfect subtree?

Conjecture

There is a proper incompressible tree which cannot compute any perfect incompressible tree.

A tree is **T skeletal** if there is $x \in [T]$ such that $\sigma \in T$ has two incomparable extensions in T if and only if $\sigma \prec x$.

Conjecture

A skeletal incompressible tree cannot compute a perfect incompressible tree unless it is complete.

Let \mathbf{M} be the universal left-c.e. continuous semi-measure. For a Π_1^0 class P with associated co-c.e. tree T , P is **deep** if there is computable f such that

$$\mathbf{M}(T \cap 2^{f(n)}) \leq 2^{-n}.$$

Let \mathbf{M} be the universal left-c.e. continuous semi-measure. For a Π_1^0 class P with associated co-c.e. tree T , P is **deep** if there is computable f such that

$$\mathbf{M}(T \cap 2^{f(n)}) \leq 2^{-n}.$$

Example

- The class of effectively proper incompressible trees.
- The class of complete extensions of PA.

Let \mathbf{M} be the universal left-c.e. continuous semi-measure. For a Π_1^0 class P with associated co-c.e. tree T , P is **deep** if there is computable f such that

$$\mathbf{M}(T \cap 2^{f(n)}) \leq 2^{-n}.$$

Example

- The class of effectively proper incompressible trees.
- The class of complete extensions of PA.

Theorem (Bienvenu, Porter, 2017)

An incomplete random cannot compute any member of any deep Π_1^0 class.

Fact

The class of effectively proper incompressible trees is a deep Π_1^0 class.

However, the class of proper incompressible trees is a Π_2^0 class.

Fact

The class of effectively proper incompressible trees is a deep Π_1^0 class.

However, the class of proper incompressible trees is a Π_2^0 class.

Theorem (Barnaliyas, Z., 2024)

There exists a perfect incompressible tree which is not a member of any deep Π_1^0 class.

Fact

The class of effectively proper incompressible trees is a deep Π_1^0 class.

However, the class of proper incompressible trees is a Π_2^0 class.

Theorem (Barnaliyas, Z., 2024)

There exists a perfect incompressible tree which is not a member of any deep Π_1^0 class.

Question

Is there a reasonable way to define a deep Π_2^0 class?

Thanks!